


Rhodium(III)-Catalyzed Alkenyl C–H Bond Functionalization: Convergent Synthesis of Furans and Pyrroles**

Yajing Lian, Tatjana Huber, Kevin D. Hesp, Robert G. Bergman, and Jonathan A. Ellman*

Furans and pyrroles are pervasive in natural products and pharmaceuticals.^[1] For this reason, the synthesis of these five-membered ring heterocycles has been an intense topic for decades^[2] with transition-metal-based C–H bond functionalization strategies recently providing powerful annulative approaches for their assembly.^[3,4] Specifically, Glorius and co-workers^[3a] and Fagnou and co-workers^[3b] have reported on the synthesis of pyrroles by rhodium(III)-catalyzed coupling of enamides with internal alkynes [Eqs. (1) and (2); Cp* = C₅Me₅], and most recently, Lei and co-workers have reported on the synthesis of furans by the silver acetate mediated oxidative coupling of terminal alkynes and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds [Eq. (3)].^[3c] Herein, we report a new type of catalytic C–H bond functionalization with annulation, thus enabling the versatile and efficient synthesis of furans and pyrroles from simple electrophilic coupling partners which serve as very different inputs from those used previously [Eq. (4)].^[5] Additionally, in contrast to the other reported C–H-functionalization-based annulations [Eqs. (1)–(3)], no external oxidant is required.

Over the past several years spectacular progress has been achieved on the rhodium(III)-catalyzed addition of C_{sp²}–H bonds across alkenes and alkynes.^[6–9] Recently, we and others have also actively explored rhodium(III)-catalyzed C–H bond additions across polarized C–N and C–O multiple bonds.^[10] Our proposed annulation approach for the synthesis of furans and pyrroles in particular requires a rhodium(III)-catalyzed alkenyl C–H bond addition to imines and aldehydes. However, few examples of the addition of alkenyl C–H bonds across C–N and C–O multiple bonds have been reported. We first described the addition of alkenyl C–H



bonds of enamides to isocyanates,^[10m] and very recently, Shi and co-workers reported alkenyl C–H bond addition to N-tosyl imines and activated aldehydes using a pyridyl directing group.^[10n]

We initiated our investigation by exploring the rhodium(III)-catalyzed addition of the α,β -unsaturated oxime **1a** to ethyl glyoxylate (**2a**; Table 1).^[11] Although the use of 5 mol % of [Cp*RhCl₂]₂ and AgSbF₆ with commercially available **2a**,

Table 1: Screening of reaction conditions.

Entry	Catalyst	Catalyst loading (mol %)	T [°C]	t [h]	Yield [%] ^[b]
1 ^[c]	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	5	75	20	84
2	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	5	75	20	> 99
3	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	5	75	5	> 99
4 ^[d]	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	5	75	5	54
5	[Cp*Rh(CH ₃ CN) ₃](SbF ₆) ₂	5	75	5	87
6	[RhCp*Cl ₂] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	2.5	50	5	> 99
7	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	1.25	50	5	89
8	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂	5	75	5	0
9	AgSbF ₆	20	75	5	0
10	[{RhCp*Cl ₂ }] ₂ , AgSbF ₆	2.5	75	5	> 99

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.10 mmol), purified ethyl glyoxylate (**2a**; 0.20 mmol) in 0.33 mL of DCE. [b] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. [c] Commercially available 50% solution of ethyl glyoxylate in toluene used. [d] Used 1.0 equiv of ethyl glyoxylate. DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane.

[*] Y. Lian, T. Huber, K. D. Hesp, Prof. Dr. J. A. Ellman
Department of Chemistry, Yale University
225 Prospect St., New Haven, CT 06520 (USA)
E-mail: jonathan.ellman@yale.edu

Prof. Dr. R. G. Bergman
Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720-1416 (USA)
and
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720 (USA)

[**] This work was supported by the NIH Grant GM069559 (to J.A.E.). R.G.B. acknowledges funding from The Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, U.S. Department of Energy, under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. K.D.H. is grateful to the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for a postdoctoral fellowship.


Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201207995>.

which is stored as a 50% toluene solution, afforded the desired product **3a** in good yield (entry 1), a quantitative yield was observed when **2a** was used in pure form (entry 2). The reaction time could be shortened to 5 hours without a reduction in yield (entry 3). Using 2.0 equivalents of **2a** is crucial because the yield dropped significantly when only 1.0 equivalent was employed (entry 4). The use of the preformed cationic rhodium(III) precursor $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Rh}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_3(\text{SbF}_6)_2]$ slightly reduced the yield (entry 5). A lower catalyst loading proved to be effective. At a 2.5 mol% catalyst loading, complete conversion into product was maintained (entry 6), while at a 1.25 mol% loading only a slight drop in yield was observed (entry 7). Conversion into product was not observed when either $[\text{Cp}^*\text{RhCl}_2]_2$ or AgSbF_6 alone were used (entries 8 and 9), which suggests that a cationic rhodium(III) species is required for this C–H bond functionalization process. Although reaction of **1a** with **2a** proceeds to complete conversion within 5 hours at 50 °C (entry 6), a longer reaction time and a higher temperature (75 °C) did not result in any reduction in yield (entry 10). These more forcing conditions were found to be necessary for less reactive oxime substrates.

Having defined an effective catalyst system and reaction conditions for the synthesis of furan **3a** in high yield, we next explored the substrate scope with a range of α,β -unsaturated oximes (**1**) having different substitution patterns (Table 2). Annulation of cyclic and acyclic trisubstituted oximes resulted in fully substituted furan products in good yields (**3a–g**), with cyclohexyl (**3a,e,g**) and cyclopentyl (**3b**) derivatives providing access to different bicyclic frameworks. The terminal


substituent, R^3 , is not essential for the success of the reaction, thereby enabling the preparation of trisubstituted furans (**3h,i**). We also explored variation at R^1 and established that different alkyl groups work well in this transformation (**3e–g**). Varying the R^2 substituent demonstrated that alkyl-, aryl- (**3h**), and benzyl-substituted (**3i**) oximes are effective substrates. However, a substituent at this position is crucial because no product was obtained when R^2 was H. To enhance the practicality of the method, we performed the reaction on the benchtop and found that the yield was comparable to that observed when the reaction was set up in a glovebox under inert atmosphere conditions (see **3a**).

Having demonstrated a broad scope for coupling oximes, bearing different substitution patterns, with **2a**, we next explored the possibility of extending this transformation to less activated aldehydes. To apply the transformation to aromatic aldehydes, or even to aliphatic aldehydes, the reversible nature of metal-catalyzed C–H bond addition to aldehydes must be considered (Scheme 1). In contrast to the reaction of the electron-deficient and destabilized **2a**, which



Scheme 1. Proposed reaction pathways.

Table 2: Oxime substrate scope with ethyl glyoxylate.^[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (0.20 mmol), purified ethyl glyoxylate (**2a**; 0.40 mmol) in 0.67 mL of DCE. The yield of isolated, purified material is reported for each product. [b] Conducted in a Schlenk flask under N_2 on the benchtop.

likely favors formation of alcohol intermediates such as those illustrated in Scheme 1, literature reports suggest that starting materials are generally more stable than the addition products when less-electron-deficient aldehydes are used.^[12] For unactivated aldehydes we therefore envisioned that capture of the initial alcohol product by cyclization and aromatization might be necessary to drive the reaction to completion.^[13]

We initially focused on the identification of suitable reaction conditions for the efficient coupling of 4-trifluoromethylbenzaldehyde (**5a**) and the oxime **1b** (Table 3). The use of DCE as a solvent, which we have previously found to be one of the most effective for rhodium(III)-catalyzed C–H additions to polarized C–N and C–O multiple bonds, provided the desired furan **7a** in poor yield (entry 1). A range of solvents with different polarities and hydrogen-bonding capabilities were therefore evaluated because different solvation properties might be expected to affect the equilibrium between the starting materials and the alcohol intermediate, and could also impact the rate of cyclization and aromatization. A variety of alcohols with different steric properties and acidities were first explored, with $\text{CF}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$ increasing the yield to 50% (entries 2–4). Evaluation of aprotic solvents resulted in an interesting trend. Polar and

Table 3: Reaction optimization for aromatic aldehydes.^[a]

Entry	Solvent	Yield ^[b] [%]
1	DCE	23
2	EtOH	trace
3	<i>i</i> PrOH	20
4	CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	50
5	CH ₃ CN	trace
6	DMF	17
7	EtOAc	63
8	1,4-dioxane	53
9	HOAc	78
10	THF	78

[a] Reaction conditions: **1b** (0.10 mmol), aldehyde **5a** (0.20 mmol) in 0.33 mL of solvent. [b] Determined by ¹H NMR analysis using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. DMF = *N,N*-dimethylformamide.

strongly coordinating solvents, such as CH₃CN or DMF resulted in very low yields (entries 5 and 6). However, the use of moderately coordinating solvents significantly improved the yield (entries 7–10). Among the solvents screened, HOAc and THF were the most effective, thus providing **7a** in excellent yield (78%).^[14] THF was chosen for further experimentation because it is more easily removed than HOAc.

Having defined an effective solvent system for the synthesis of furans from the aromatic aldehyde **5a**, we next explored a wider range of aldehydes (Table 4). Good functional-group compatibility was demonstrated, with aromatic aldehydes substituted with chloro, fluoro, trifluoromethyl, methoxy, nitro, and ester groups all proving to be effective coupling partners. Moreover, substitution at the *ortho*, *meta*, and *para* positions of the aromatic ring was found to be well tolerated (**7a–h**). Electron-poor aromatic aldehydes generally afford furan products in higher yields (**7a,d,e**), but unactivated aromatic aldehydes are also effective substrates (**7b,c,h**). Moreover, the annulation of aliphatic aldehydes proceeds in moderate yields (**7i,j**), which is notable because few prior examples of rhodium(III)-catalyzed C–H bond additions to aliphatic aldehydes have been reported.^[61] The reaction is not limited to oxime **1b**, but is also effective for other oxime substitution patterns (**7k–m**).

With successful demonstration of this new type of annulation for the synthesis of diverse furan derivatives, we next investigated the possibility of extending this reaction to pyrrole synthesis. The reaction of the oxime **1a** with the *N*-tosyl imine of ethyl glyoxylate (**8**) in DCE at 90 °C for 16 hours afforded the pyrrole **9a** in reasonable yield (Table 5). The reaction proved to be effective for α,β -unsaturated oximes (**1**) having different substituents and substitution patterns, but preliminary attempts to extend the reaction to *N*-tosyl imines of aromatic aldehydes have not yet been successful.

In conclusion, a new type of annulation for the synthesis of substituted furans and pyrroles has been developed and


Table 4: Substrate scope for other aldehydes.^[a]

 7a 76%, (76%) ^[b]	 7b 52%	 7c 65%
 7d 76%	 7e 82%	 7f 64%
 7g 67%	 7h 69% ^[c]	 7i 52% ^[c]
 7j 41% ^[c]	 7k 71%	 7l 59%
		 7m 53%

[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (0.20 mmol), aldehyde **5** (0.40 mmol) in 0.67 mL of THF. The yield of the isolated, purified material is reported for each product. [b] Conducted in a Schlenk flask under N₂ on the benchtop. [c] Using 10 mol % [(Cp**Rh*Cl₂)₂].

proceeds by C–H bond activation. The annulation is initiated by the rhodium(III)-catalyzed addition of an alkenyl C–H bond across an aldehyde C=O or imine C=N bond with subsequent cyclization and aromatization. This operationally simple process is amenable to a number of different substituents on the α,β -unsaturated oxime. Annulations with ethyl glyoxylate and the corresponding *N*-tosyl imine provide access to 2-carboxyethyl-substituted furans and pyrroles, respectively. Moreover, a broad range of aromatic and aliphatic aldehydes participate in the reaction to provide access to an extensive group of differently substituted furans. We are continuing to investigate this approach for the synthesis of other five-membered ring heterocycles including azoles.

Table 5: Substrate scope for pyrrole synthesis.^[a]



[a] Reaction conditions: **1** (0.20 mmol), imine **8** (0.40 mmol) in 0.67 mL of DCE. The yield of the isolated, purified material is reported for each product. Ts = 4-toluenesulfonyl.

Experimental Section

Representative procedure: In a N_2 -filled glovebox, $[\text{Cp}^*\text{RhCl}_2]_2$ (3.1 mg, 0.0050 mmol, 0.025 equiv), AgSbF_6 (6.9 mg, 0.020 mmol, 0.10 equiv), the indicated O-methyl oxime (0.200 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and ethyl glyoxylate (40.8 mg, 0.400 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were added to a screw-capped conical vial with a stir bar. DCE (0.66 mL, [O-methyl oxime] = 0.30 M) was then added. The vial was sealed with a cap containing a PTFE septum and was removed from the glovebox. The reaction vial was then placed in a temperature-controlled oil bath at 75 °C. After 16 h of stirring, the vial was removed from the oil bath and was cooled to ambient temperature. The mixture was filtered through a pad of celite and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was loaded onto a silica gel column for chromatographic purification (hexanes/ethyl acetate 20:1).

Received: October 3, 2012

Published online: November 22, 2012

Keywords: annulation · C–H activation · heterocycles · rhodium · synthetic methods

- [1] a) A. R. Katritzky, *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III*, 1st ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, **2008**; b) A. F. Pozharskii, A. R. Katritzky, A. T. Soldatenkov, *Heterocycles in Life and Society: An Introduction to Heterocyclic Chemistry, Biochemistry And Applications*, 2nd ed., Wiley, Chichester, **2011**; c) X. L. Hou, H. Y. Cheung, T. Y. Hon, P. L. Kwan, T. H. Lo, S. Y. Tong, H. N. C. Wong, *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 1955; d) B. H. Lipshutz, *Chem. Rev.* **1986**, *86*, 795.
- [2] For select reviews, see: a) B. A. Keay, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1999**, *28*, 209; b) A. S. Dudnik, V. Gevorgyan, *Transition Metal-Catalyzed Synthesis of Monocyclic Five-Membered Aromatic Heterocycles, in Catalyzed Carbon-Heteroatom Bond Formation*, Wiley, Weinheim, **2010**; c) G. Balme, D. Bouyssi, N. Monteiro, *Heterocycles* **2007**, *73*, 87; For some selected recent examples, see: d) T. J. Donohoe, J. F. Bower, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 3373; e) P. Lenden, D. A. Entwistle, M. C. Willis, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 10845; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10657; f) M. Zhang, H.-F. Jiang, H. Neumann, M. Beller, P. H. Dixneuf, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 1709; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 1681; g) D. Hong, Y.-X. Zhu, Y. Li, X.-F. Lin, P. Lu, Y.-G. Wang, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4668; h) B. B. Thompson, J. Montgomery, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3289; i) T. Wang, X.-L. Chen, L. Chen, Z.-P. Zhan, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3324.
- [3] a) S. Rakshit, F. W. Patureau, F. Glorius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9585; b) D. R. Stuart, P. Alsabeh, M. Kuhn, K. Fagnou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 18326; c) C. He, S. Guo, J. Ke, J. Hao, H. Xu, H.-Y. Chen, A.-W. Lei, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5766.
- [4] For recent general reviews on C–H functionalization with applications to heterocycle synthesis, see: a) J. Yamaguchi, A. D. Yamaguchi, K. Itami, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 9092; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 8960; b) D. A. Colby, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 624; c) X. Chen, K. M. Engle, D.-H. Wang, J.-Q. Yu, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 5196; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 5094; d) T. W. Lyons, M. S. Sanford, *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 1147; e) I. V. Seregin, V. Gevorgyan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1173.
- [5] The connectivity obtained in the initial C–H functionalization step is similar to that observed for an umpolung process. See: a) D. Seebach, *Angew. Chem.* **1979**, *91*, 259; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1979**, *18*, 239.
- [6] For recent reviews on rhodium(III)-catalyzed C–H functionalization, see: a) T. Satoh, M. Miura, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 11212; b) J. Wencel-Delord, T. Droge, F. Liu, F. Glorius, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2011**, *40*, 4740; c) G. Song, F. Wang, X. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 3651.
- [7] For selected rhodium(III)-catalyzed oxidative coupling of alkenes, see: a) S. Rakshit, C. Grohmann, T. Besset, F. Glorius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2350; b) F. W. Patureau, T. Besset, F. Glorius, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 1096; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1064; c) F. Wang, G. Song, Z. Du, X. Li, *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 2926; d) S. H. Park, J. Y. Kim, S. Chang, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 2372; e) X. Li, M. Zhao, *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 8530; f) J. Chen, G. Song, C.-L. Pan, X. Li, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 5426; g) N. Umeda, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, M. Miura, *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 7094; h) K. Ueura, T. Satoh, M. Miura, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1407.
- [8] For selected rhodium(III)-catalyzed annulation of alkynes, see: a) M. V. Pham, B. Ye, N. Cramer, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 10762; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 10610; b) B.-J. Li, H.-Y. Wang, Q.-L. Zhu, Z.-J. Shi, *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 4014; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3948; c) F. W. Patureau, T. Besset, N. Kuhl, F. Glorius, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 2154; d) N. Guimond, S. I. Gorelsky, K. Fagnou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 6449; e) M. P. Huestis, L. Chan, D. R. Stuart, K. Fagnou, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 1374; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 1338; f) T. K. Hyster, T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10565; g) P. C. Too, Y.-F. Wang, S. Chiba, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 5688; h) N. Umeda, H. Tsurugi, T. Satoh, M. Miura, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 4083; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4019.
- [9] For selected ruthenium(II)-catalyzed C–H bond functionalization, see: a) P. B. Arockiam, C. Bruneau, P. H. Dixneuf, *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 5879; b) L. Ackermann, A. V. Lygin, N. Hofmann, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 6503; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 6379; c) L. Ackermann, A. V. Lygin, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 764; d) V. S. Thirunavukkarasu, M. Donati, L. Ackermann, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3416; e) R. K. Chinnagolla, M. Jeganmohan, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 2030; f) K. Muralirajan, K. Parthasarathy, C.-H. Cheng, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4262; g) L. Ackermann, L. Wang, A. V. Lygin, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 177; h) L. Ackermann, S. I. Kozhushkov, D. S. Yufit, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12068; i) B. Li, H.-L. Feng, N.-C. Wang, J.-F. Ma, H.-B. Song, S.-S. Xu, B.-Q. Wang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 12873.
- [10] For the rhodium(III)-catalyzed direct addition of C–H bonds to imines, see: a) A. S. Tsai, M. E. Tauchert, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 1248; b) Y. Li, B.-J. Li, W.-H. Wang, W.-P. Huang, X.-S. Zhang, K. Chen, Z.-J. Shi, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 2163; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2115; c) M. E. Tauchert, C. D. Incarvito, A. L. Rheingold, R. G. Berg-

- man, J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 1482; d) K. D. Hesp, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2304; e) Y. Li, X.-S. Zhang, H. Li, W.-H. Wang, K. Chen, B.-J. Li, Z.-J. Shi, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 1634; f) Y. Li, X.-S. Zhang, Q.-L. Zhu, Z.-J. Shi, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4498; For the rhodium(III)-catalyzed direct addition of C–H bonds to aldehydes, see: g) L. Yang, C. A. Correia, C.-J. Li, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 1269; h) Y. Li, X.-S. Zhang, K. Chen, K.-H. He, F. Pan, B.-J. Li, Z.-J. Shi, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 636; i) J. Park, E. Park, A. Kim, Y. Lee, K. W. Chi, J. H. Kwak, Y. H. Jung, I. S. Kim, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4390; j) S. Sharma, E. Park, J. Park, I. S. Kim, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 906; k) B. Zhou, Y. Yang, Y. Li, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 5163; l) Y. Lian, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 3088; For the rhodium(III)-catalyzed direct addition of C–H bonds to other polarized bonds, see: m) K. D. Hesp, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 11430; n) Y. Du, T. K. Hyster, T. Rovis, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 12074; o) C. Zhu, W. Xie, J. R. Falck, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 12591; For a rhodium catalyzed addition of aryl ketimines to aldehydes to give isobenzofurans, see: p) Y. Kuninobu, Y. Nishina, C. Nakagawa, K. Takai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12376.
- [11] Selected recent examples of using oxime as a directing group in the rhodium(III)-catalyzed C–H functionalization chemistry: a) A. S. Tsai, M. Brasse, R. G. Bergman, J. A. Ellman, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 540; b) T. K. Hyster, T. Rovis, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 11846; c) X.-P. Zhang, D. Chen, M. Zhao, J. Zhao, A.-Q. Jia, X.-W. Li, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2011**, *353*, 719; d) K.-H. Ng, Z. Zhou, W.-Y. Yu, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 272.
- [12] H. Li, Y. Li, X.-S. Zhang, K. Chen, X. Wang, Z.-J. Shi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 15244.
- [13] We have recently reported on the cyclative capture of reversibly formed alcohol addition products in the synthesis of furans. See: Ref. [10].
- [14] Reaction of oxime **1a** with **2a** in THF provided the furan **3a** in 45% yield, and thus DCE remains the optimal solvent for reactions with ethyl glyoxylate.